## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	)	
v.	)	Case No. 24-30296
Donald Wayne Cummings  Defendant		0.001.00.21.30290

	) Case No. 24-30296
Donald Wayne Cummings	)
Defendant	)
ORDER OF DETENT	ΓΙΟΝ PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligib	ility for Detention
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursua  ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i).	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Lav	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S. of presumption that no condition or combination of conditions and the community because the following conditions	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the fo	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
_ * * *	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; <b>or</b>
(b) an offense for which the maximum so	entence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the \$801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); <b>or</b>
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two	onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal n of such offenses; or
	me of violence but involves: a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. twould have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
<u> </u>	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
	apsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Page 1 of 3

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:		
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);		
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;		
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;		
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or		
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.		
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above		
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR		
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:     By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment   Lack of stable residence		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment		

## Case 2:24-mj-30296-DUTY ECF No. 11, PageID.18 Filed 07/29/24 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
✓ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

At Defendant's September 10, 2021 detention hearing in case no. 21-20606 the Court detained Defendant and found that he: (1) is a daily drug user of Percocet and marijuana; (2) was found with delivery quantities of drugs and a firearm with an extended magazine (despite being underage) in the presence of a 1 year old; (3) is strongly connected to two planned shootings at houses, with further evidence of a possible third; (4) ran from the police, both before and after being tased, and bragged about it on social media; (5) committed a violent assault on someone for failing to pay a drug debt, including mutiple kicks and punches to the victim's head as he lay on the ground, as captured on video; and, (7) is dangerous, and is likely to either kill or be killed if kept out on bond. Since his release from prison 14 months ago, and while on supervision, as discussed at today's detention hearing in the instant case (all of the Court's reasoning being incorporated in full by this reference), Defendant has been picked up with a gun in connection with a property theft transaction, during which he fled from police. The gun was also seen in his hand on video and is connected with a shooting that occurred six days earlier. In a jail cell call this past weekend, Defendant told his girlfriend that "People are safer when I'm locked up." Defendant's criminal behavior is undeterred and escalating. He had no legitimate job until immediately before his arrest. Defendant has twice fled from the police with a firearm.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: July 29, 2024	s/Anthony P. Patti
	Judge's Signature
	Anthony P. Patti, U.S. Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title